

**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
CITY COMMISSION MEETING ROOM
100 NORTH ANDREWS AVENUE
OCTOBER 25, 2011
9:00 A.M. – 1:25 P.M.**

<u>Board Members</u>	<u>Attendance</u>	Cumulative attendance 2/2011 through 1/2012	
		<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>
Jan Sheppard, Chair	P	9	0
Howard Nelson, Vice Chair	P	8	1
Paul Dooley	P	9	0
Howard Elfman	A	8	1
Genia Ellis	P	8	1
Joan Hinton	P	5	4
Chad Thilborger	P	9	0
PJ Espinal [Alternate] [9:17]	P	7	1
Joshua Miron [Alternate]	A	5	5
Robert Smith [Alternate]	P	8	0

Staff Present

Summer Barranco, Board Attorney
 Ginger Wald, Assistant City Attorney
 Brian McKelligett, Clerk /Code Enforcement Board Supervisor
 Dee Paris, Administrative Aide
 Yvette Ketor, Secretary, Code Enforcement Board
 Lori Grossfeld, Clerk III,
 Deb Maxey, Clerk III
 Jorg Hruschka, Building Inspector
 Burt Ford, Building Inspector
 George Oliva, Building Inspector
 Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector
 Junia Robinson, Haitian Programs Coordinator [translator]
 Jamie Opperlee, Recording Secretary

Communication to the City Commission

None.

Respondents and Witnesses

CE11041400: Diane Secor, owner
CE11032316: Goran Dragoslavic, owner; Connie Hersch, property manager
CE10111974: Maria Vaca, owner; Paul Paolicelli, contractor
CE07080634: Donald Grant, owner
CE11031086: Courtney Crush, attorney
CE11032908: Gordhandas Lalwani, owner
CE10100487: Robert Edwards, agent
CE10122048: Robert Talbott, contractor
CE09050642: Damien Dominicis, owner
CE09030895: Kent Chamberlain, owner
CE10020688; CE10020689; CE10020690; CE10020691; CE10020692; CE10020693;
CE10020694; CE10020695; CE10020700; CE10020701; CE10020703; CE10020704;
CE10020705; CE10020706; CE10020708; CE10020709; CE10020711; CE10020712;
CE10020714; CE10020715; CE10020717; CE10020719; CE10020720; CE10020721;
CE10020722; CE10020723: Michael Prather, contractor; Steven Kates, president of
board
CE08101015: Leonard Wright, contractor; Jeffrey Kriendler, owner's representative
CE09020587: Taisto Pesola, owner's representative
CE11041498: Marguerite White, bank attorney
CE10120909: Brian Ellis, owner's husband
CE10091722: David Wagie, general contractor
CE10041015: Gerard Scalzo, owner
CE11020851: Alexander Kublicks, bank agent; Marie Fox, bank representative
CE09051511: Jean-Pierre DaSilva, engineer
CE11012060: William Hickman, owner
CE10060988: Eric Delrosal, property manager
CE10061995: Steven Meister, receiver; Carlos Flores, tenant
CE04061463: Vanessa Havel, owner's realtor
CE10012098: John Tiberio, neighbor; Sharon Tiberio, neighbor; Gary Snyder, owner
CE11030105: Toby Spill, bank representative
CE06030553; CE10062044: George Zartolas, owner; Herman Harlahuano, contractor
CE09071049: Christopher Done, owner's employee
CE09011970: Joey Partin, owner
CE10071162: Tom Lanigan, owner/manager
CE11032747: Anne Rosse, owner
CE10082026: Aron Echols, owner
CE10080653; CE10071991: Ricky Pierce, owner
CE05110587: Mark Doan, general contractor
CE11041294: Gregory Aulensi, owner's son
CE11091331: Humberto Roque, property manager
CE11060149: August Perez Jr., owner
CE11030105: Dinna Toth, attorney
CE11051528: Guyton Bass, manager
CE10021271: Warren Diener, owner's attorney

CE11010418: Venus Proffer, owner's representative

Chair Sheppard called the meeting to order at 9:03 a.m., introduced Board members and explained the procedures for the hearing.

Individuals wishing to speak on any of the cases on today's agenda were sworn in.

Case: CE11032316

307 Southwest 11 Avenue
COMMUNITY 8 PROPERTIES LLC

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported the permit required approval from the Historic Preservation Board [HPB] and recommended an extension.

Ms. Connie Hersch, property manager, said the request was on the HPB's November agenda.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10041015

2030 N Atlantic Blvd
SUN TOWER INVESTMENTS INC
C/O BRUSCO CONST

This case was first heard on 6/28/11 to comply by 7/26/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Gerard Scalzo, owner, reported the project was in permitting. He said they had determined that two parking spots were located in the right of way. His attorney was looking into a licensing agreement and he requested an extension.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, agreed the permit application had failed due to the right-of-way issue. He recommended an extension.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10061995

3025 Davie Blvd
MARKATIA EQUITIES INC

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/13/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, stated the permit application was ready to pick up for corrections and recommended a 28-day extension.

Mr. Steven Meister, receiver, requested more than 28 days because they had needed to hire an architect to draw plans.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Ellis to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE09051511

2516 N Atlantic Blvd
MAVROOKAS, PETER &
NOTTE-MAVROOKAS, KIM J

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Jean-Pierre DaSilva, engineer, said the permit had been issued and some work remained to be done. He explained that approvals were required from Tallahassee because the property was east of the coastal construction line. He requested 91 additional days.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, confirmed what Mr. DaSilva had said and stated the owner was living at the property.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE09020587

1525 Southeast 15 Street # 4
MAFF, BENJAMIN I

This case was first heard on 8/29/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/7/11 and at City Hall on

10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, said he had received an email from the owner explaining that his contractor had abandoned the job and requesting an extension. Inspector Ford recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

The following 26 cases at the same address were heard together:

Case: CE10020688

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 105

ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Board attorney Barranco agreed the Board could hear all 26 cases at once.

Mr. Steven Kates, president of the board, confirmed the Code Enforcement Board had heard all of the cases collectively on previous occasions. He said they had made progress, including installing 78 new doors. Mr. Kates said two items remained: the paving and the railing. He admitted the paving was more complicated than they had anticipated because this had led to landscaping and lighting changes. He explained they were seeking a less expensive alternative for the lighting and this must be approved by the architect. Mr. Kates anticipated resubmitting the permit application by the end of the week and completing the work within 90 days.

Mr. Michael Prather, contractor, said he was awaiting drawings from the engineer for the railings and he anticipated receiving these any day.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, confirmed what Mr. Kates had said and recommended a 126-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Nelson to grant a 126-day extension to 2/28/12 for all 26 cases, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10020689

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 106
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020690

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 109
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020691

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 110
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020692

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 111
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020693

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 112
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020694

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 113
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020695

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 114
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020700

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 205
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020701

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 206
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020703

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 208
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020704

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 209
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020705

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 210
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020706

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 211
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020708

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 213
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020709

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 214
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020711

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 302
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020712

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 303
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020714

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 305
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020715

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 306
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020717

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 308
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020719

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 310
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020720

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 311
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020721

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 312
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020722

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 313
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10020723

1400 Northeast 56 Street # 314
ISLES AT CORAL RIDGE DEVELOPMENT

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Case: CE10071162

1223 Northeast 15 Avenue
1223 Northeast 15TH AVENUE LLC
C/O CASEY WILLIAM COUGHLIN PA

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Tom Lanigan, owner/manager, said his original contractor had not completed the permit applications properly. He stated the new contractor would submit the air conditioner certificate that day and said he had hired a plumber to handle the water heater issue. Mr. Lanigan requested a 91-day extension.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, recommended a 28-day extension for a progress report.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 28-day extension to 11/22/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Nelson opposed.

Case: CE10122048

924 West Las Olas Boulevard
BRIGHT ASSETS LLC

This case was first heard on 4/26/11 to comply by 7/26/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied. Ms. Paris noted that as of 7/18/11 the new owner was Sail Up LLC.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported this was an active construction site and work was progressing. He recommended a 91-day extension.

Mr. Robert Talbott, contractor, said they had decided to replace 45 windows. He said 90% of the violations had been corrected.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10111974

410 Southeast 14 Court
VACA, MARIA ELENA

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress at the property. He noted the owner had gone through a family tragedy and he hoped she would attend to the issues on the property now. He recommended a 28-day extension to show progress.

Ms. Maria Vaca, owner, said some of the violations had been taken care of and she had found a reliable contractor.

Mr. Paul Paolicelli, contractor, said he had contracted Priority Permitting to expedite the permit process. He agreed that progress would be made within 28 days.

Ms. Vaca said the single-family home was occupied.

Inspector Smilen stated there were no life-threatening issues at the house.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 28-day extension to 11/22/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE07080634

430 Arizona Avenue
GRANT, CLAUDETTE B H/E
GRANT, DONALD

This case was first heard on 5/27/08 to comply by 9/23/08. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and fines had accrued to \$318,150.

Mr. Donald Grant, owner, informed the Board that he was committed to complying, and discussed the expense of obtaining after-the-fact permits. He requested "the maximum" extension to allow him time to find the funds. Mr. Grant said the property was in danger of foreclosure.

Mr. Nelson remarked on how long this case had been outstanding. Mr. Grant said in 2008 he had been out of the country working and he had expected his contractor to take care of this but he had not. This was why he was now addressing the issues.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, said the window and shutter permits were ready to be picked up and he had advised Mr. Grant to try to pay for those by the next hearing date. He recommended a 126-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 126-day extension to 2/28/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a roll call vote, motion passed 4-3 with Mr. Dooley, Ms. Ellis and Ms. Hinton opposed.

Case: CE10060988

2900 Riomar St
TIFFANY HOUSE LLC

This case was first heard on 4/26/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Eric Delrosal, property manager, said the plans had been picked up for corrections and they were resubmitting them. He requested a 91-day extension.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, said he had spoken with the owner, but he could not find the permit application the owner said had been submitted. He agreed to an extension. Inspector Ford said the owner was trying to maintain the property otherwise.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Hinton to grant a 28-day extension to 11/22/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Dooley opposed.

Case: CE06030553

3901 Southwest 16 Street
3901 DAVIE ASSOCIATES LLC

This case was first heard on 1/22/08 to comply by 6/24/08. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and fines had accrued to \$1,066,300. Ms. Paris stated as of sometime in 2010, the new owners were Ada H. Zartolas and George Zartolas.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, said the master permit application had been submitted on August 24 and was going through the process. He recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Hinton to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Dooley opposed.

Case: CE10062044

3913 Southwest 16 Street
ZARTOLAS, ADA H &
ZARTOLAS, GEORGE

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, said the interior demolition permit had been issued and the owner reported the wall had been removed. He recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE08101015

1522 Davie Blvd
WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK

This case was first heard on 8/25/09 to comply by 10/27/09. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied. Ms. Paris stated as of 9/25/09 the new owner was TLC Experts Inc.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported the general contractor was handling the permits now. He noted progress already made at the property and recommended a 91-day extension.

Mr. Jeffrey Kriendler, the owner's representative, requested a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Ellis to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE04061463

3081 Harbor Drive
RAJ HOTELS LLC

This case was first heard on 1/26/10 to comply by 3/23/10. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, said they were waiting to hear from the Right-of-Way Committee.

Ms. Wald said the case with the Right-of-Way Committee should be resolved within 126 days.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Ellis to grant a 182-day extension to 4/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 5-2 with Mr. Dooley and Ms. Hinton opposed.

Case: CE10080653

2001 Northwest 28 Avenue
PIERCE, RICKY

This case was first heard on 1/25/11 to comply by 3/22/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/13/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Mr. Ricky Pierce, owner, reminded the Board that at the previous hearing, he had been told that the permit fee for 2001 would be \$120 but when he went to the Building Department to pick it up he was told the cost was \$428.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, said this was the new fee.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 28-day extension to 11/22/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10071991

2600 Northwest 20 Court
PIERCE, RICKY

This case was first heard on 3/22/11 to comply by 5/24/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/13/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, said the plans had been picked up for corrections. He recommended a 91-day extension.

Mr. Ricky Pierce, owner, reminded the Board that he had not done the unpermitted work at the house, a prior owner had. He requested a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Dooley, seconded by Ms. Hinton to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10012098

3300 Northeast 16 Court
SNYDER, GARY S & JANE LE
SNYDER FAM TR

This case was first heard on 4/26/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/12/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$2,835 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Mr. Gary Snyder, owner, reported the same short sale was still pending. He confirmed that someone was still living in the maid's quarters rent-free. He reminded the Board that the buyer's realtor had appeared at a previous hearing and confirmed the buyer was aware of the violations.

Ms. Ellis suggested that if the person occupying the maid's quarters were removed, this would comply one of the violations. Mr. Snyder explained that the woman was a friend who had lost her husband and her home.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress toward compliance at the property.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Hinton to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and therefore the fines as stated in the Order would begin on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11060149

3310 Auburn Blvd
A & A SOUTH FLORIDA
INVESTMENT LLC

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. August Perez Jr., owner, stated they had submitted the permit applications for the kitchen and plumbing. He planned on scheduling the inspections by the end of the week. He stated they would submit the permit application for the roof within two weeks.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, said the kitchen renovation was complied, but the roof was still not complied. He said there had been no progress on the roof since August.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Mr. Nelson to grant a 91-day extension to 1/24/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE09071049

666 W Campus Cir
RBA CAPITAL LP
C/O BRUCE R JACOBS PA

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Christopher Done, the owner's employee, said they had pulled the electrical and mechanical permits and the inspections were scheduled. They were still awaiting window permits. Mr. Done requested an extension.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, related items that were complied and agreed the window permit was expired and needed a contactor to renew it. He recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 126-day extension to 2/28/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11041498

1609 Northwest 8 Avenue
SPENCE, JERMAINE & ANGELA

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Ms. Marguerite White, bank attorney, reported the property was in foreclosure but the bank did not have certificate of title. Ms. Paris stated the final judgment had been entered in February 2010 and the sale had been canceled.

Ms. Wald said the City's only option was to move forward; they could not know what the bank would do. She informed Mr. Thilborger that the lien would go against the current listed owner of the property.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, said no progress had been made at the property.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Ellis to grant a 308-day extension to 8/28/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion **failed** 0-7.

Mr. Nelson left the dais and Ms. Espinal took his place.

Case: CE10091722

2011 Northeast 31 Avenue
MCDEVITT, MICHAEL

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. David Wagie, general contractor, stated the permit had been issued September 26 and inspections were ongoing. He requested a 28-day extension.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, recommended a 28-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to grant a 28-day extension to 11/22/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11012060

2554 Tortugas Ln
HICKMAN, WILLIAM

This case was first heard on 7/26/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. William Hickman, owner, described progress made toward compliance and said he was unable to pull the paver permit as the owner. He also needed a hurricane shutter permit and an architect's drawing for the shed. He requested a 28-day extension.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, recommended a 126-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to grant a 126-day extension to 2/28/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Mr. Nelson returned to the dais.

Case: CE10120909

1631 North Andrews Sq
PERKINS, DEBRA J

This case was first heard on 7/26/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Brian Ellis, the owner's husband, reported Inspector Smilen had visited the property the previous day and advised him what still needed to be done. Mr. Ellis had done this, and requested an extension for Inspector Smilen to reinspect and confirm compliance.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, confirmed the efficiency apartment had been unsealed to have access from the rest of the house. He agreed he needed to confirm the removal of plumbing from the former efficiency.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 28-day extension to 11/22/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE09050642

1301 Northeast 17 Avenue
DOMINICIS, MARIA LE
DOMINICIS, LUIS & DOMINICIS, D

This case was first heard on 8/24/10 to comply by 10/26/10. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Damien Dominicis, owner, said his contractor had disappeared, so he had changed the permits to owner/builder so he could address the remaining issues at the property.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, confirmed he had advised Mr. Dominicis, and said the application was in review. He recommended a 91 to 126-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 126-day extension to 2/28/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10082026

1650 Southwest 27 Avenue
ECHOLS, AARON

This case was first heard on 2/22/11 to comply by 4/26/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/7/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Mr. Aron Echols, owner, said the permit applications had been submitted. He requested a 6-month extension.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, confirmed the applications had been submitted. He recommended an extension.

Motion made by Mr. Dooley, seconded by Mr. Nelson to grant a 126-day extension to 2/28/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE09011970

1133 Southwest 5 Place
ACREE, BARBARA
New Owners: Kamerin Cook and Joey Partin

This case was first heard on 1/26/10 to comply by 5/25/10. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Joey Partin, owner, said he had submitted the applications for electrical and plumbing.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, said he would ensure that revisions were included before the plans went to plan review.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 28-day extension to 11/22/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE09030895

1369 Southeast 14 Street
CHAMBERLAIN, KENT T

This case was first heard on 8/24/10 to comply by 11/23/10. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Kent Chamberlain, owner, said most of the permits had been issued. He requested 91 days.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, agreed to check whether the permit for the wood deck had been incorporated into the porch and carport permit. The paving permit had failed review, and there were no engineering inspections for the master permit.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Hinton to grant a 126-day extension to 2/28/12, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

The Board took a brief break.

Case: CE11041400

205 Northeast 14 Avenue
SECOR, DIANE

Service was via posting on the property on 10/12/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:

FBC(2007) 105.1

THE TWO STORY DUPLEX HAS BEEN ALTERED WITH THE REPAIR OF TERMITE DAMAGED FLOOR JOISTS THAT SEPARATE TWO RESIDENTIAL UNITS WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT.

FBC(2007) 1604.1

THE FLOOR JOIST REPAIR THAT SEPARATES TWO INDIVIDUAL FAMILY UNITS HAVE NOT BEEN PROVEN TO WITHSTAND REQUIRED LIVE AND DEAD LOADS THROUGH THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

Inspector Smilen said the case had been opened on 4/15/11 as the result of a termite complaint. He had issued a stop work order on the property on 5/4/11 but found in June that work had continued without a permit. He submitted photos of the property into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$45 per day, per violation.

Ms. Diane Secor, owner, said the termites had been taken care of and inspectors had pulled up boards to check. She said according to the inspectors, there was no termite damage or rot. Inspector Smilen reiterated that he had told workers to stop work; he had also been told when he revisited the property that flooring covering the damage and the work was temporary. Ms. Secor said she had not met with Inspector Smilen.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Hinton to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Ms. Ellis left the dais and Ms. Espinal took her place.

Case: CE11031086

725 N Birch Road
BLUE DOLPHIN VILLAS LLC

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/12/11.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:

FBC(2007) 105.1

THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS:
1. REPLACED A WOOD FENCE, PERMIT 94006787, WITH A MASONRY WALL IN THE FRONT OF THE BUILDING AND ALONG THE NORTH SIDE.

FBC(2007) 109.10

WORK WAS PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED APPROVALS.

FBC(2007) 1612.1.2

THE MASONRY WALL HAS NOT BEEN PROVEN TO SUFFICIENTLY WITHSTAND ESTIMATED OR ACTUAL IMPOSED DEAD, LIVE, WIND, OR ANY OTHER LOADS THROUGH THE PERMIT AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

Inspector Ford submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence. He was aware that the property required a variance and recommended granting the owner "whatever amount of time that they need to go through the process" to comply or a fine of \$20 per day, per violation.

Ms. Courtney Crush, attorney for the owner, said the owner was currently renovating 10 properties in the area. She explained that the replacement for the existing permitted fence required two variances. They also needed to have the replacement wall certified. Ms. Crush confirmed that the replacement was a wood fence covered with stucco.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Hinton to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 154 days, by 3/27/12 or a fine of \$20 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a roll call vote, motion passed 5-2 with Mr. Nelson and Chair Sheppard opposed.

Ms. Ellis returned to the dais.

Case: CE11032747

1525 Southeast 15 Street # 22
ROSSE, ANNIE

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/15/11.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation:

FBC(2007) 105.4.11

A WALL A/C UNIT HAS BEEN INSTALLED IN AN EXTERIOR WALL OF AN APARTMENT COMPLEX WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMIT.

Inspector Smilen said the case had begun as the result of a complaint. He stated the permit application had been out for corrections since October 12, 2011. Inspector Smilen submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$10 per day.

Ms. Anne Rosse, owner, said she was unaware a permit was needed for a window air conditioner. She stated she had recently filed bankruptcy and was having financial difficulties. She hoped to have plans submitted the following week.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 91 days, by 1/24/12 or a fine of \$10 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11020851

2300 Northwest 15 Court
WELLS FARGO BANK NA TRSTEE
GLADSTONE LAW GROUP PA

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/11/11.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
FBC(2007) 105.1

THE BUILDING HAS BEEN CHANGED IN THE FOLLOWING
MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS OR
INSPECTIONS:

1. THE WINDOWS WERE REPLACED. SOME OPENINGS WERE
ENCLOSED TO FIT THE NEW WINDOWS WITHOUT A
PERMIT AT THE DWELLING.

FBC(2007) 1612.1.2

ALL THE WINDOW INSTALLATIONS HAVE NOT BEEN
DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED WIND
LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

FBC(2007) 1626.1

THE NEW WINDOWS WITH GLASS NEED TO BE IMPACT
RESISTANT OR BE PROTECTED BY AN APPROVED HURRICANE
PROTECTION SYSTEM.

Mr. Dooley recused himself from this case and Ms. Espinal took his place on the dais.

Inspector Oliva submitted photos of the property and recommended ordering compliance within 91 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation and to record the order.

Mr. Alexander Kublicks, bank real estate agent, said he had a cash investor who was aware of the violations and was willing to correct the violations. He noted that there was an existing \$18,000 fine on the property. Mr. Kublicks requested time to resolve the existing fine and have the new owner correct the violations. He confirmed that Wells Fargo was not interested in addressing the violations.

Ms. Marie Fox, bank representative, said they were willing to work with the cash investor to get the problems resolved.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Hinton to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Thilborger opposed.

Mr. Dooley returned to the dais.

Case: CE10100487

845 Northwest 13 Avenue
US BANK NA TRSTEE

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/11/11.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation:
FBC 105.1

THE PLUMBING SYSTEM OF THE FACILITY HAS BEEN CHANGED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. THE SEWER LINE COMING FROM THE PROPERTY WAS HOOKED UP TO THE CITY SEWER LINES. PLUMBING INSPECTOR J. J. SLOANE ISSUED A STOP WORK ORDER ON 6/2/10 FOR INSTALLATION OF A SEWER LINE WITHOUT A PERMIT. SO FAR THERE IS NO EFFORT FROM THE OWNERS TO CORRECT THE VIOLATION.

Inspector Oliva said the case had been opened as the result of a phone call from the Water Department in reference to an unpermitted sewer connection. He submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 126 days or a fine of \$10 per day and to record the order.

Mr. Robert Edwards, agent, said the previous owner had re-taken possession of the property and had, on numerous occasions, put tenants into the property. He said they were trying to regain control of the property and had negotiated a sale to the Housing

Authority of Fort Lauderdale, who would resolve the violations once they took possession. He said if the current owner did not sell the property to the Housing Authority, they would walk away because the owner had already lost \$100,000. Mr. Edwards said the Housing Authority had sent him a contract and he had submitted it to the seller.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$10 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE05110587

2710 Southwest 12 Terrace
PONSARD, JONATHAN

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/7/11.

Jorg Hruschka, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
FBC 105.1

THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED BUILDING PERMITS:

1. THE CARPORT WAS ENCLOSED.
2. THE KITCHEN WAS REMODELED.
3. A FENCE WAS INSTALLED. PERMIT 98090702 DID NOT PASS FINAL INSPECTIONS.

FBC 105.2.5

ELECTRICAL CIRCUITS WERE ADDED TO THE CARPORT AREA WITHOUT FIRST OBTAINING A PERMIT. THE KITCHEN CIRCUITRY WAS ALTERED. OUTLETS WERE MOVED AND ADDED.

FBC(2007) 105.10.3.1

1. FENCE PERMIT 98090702 DID NOT PASS FINAL INSPECTIONS.

FBC(2007) 1604.1

1. THE FRAMED WALL SECTIONS, INCLUDING WINDOWS AND DOORS, HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO BE ABLE TO WITHSTAND WIND LOAD REQUIREMENTS THROUGH THE PERMIT AND INSPECTION PROCESS.
2. THE BUILDING MATERIALS USED DO NOT COMPLY WITH THE FBC.

Inspector Hruschka submitted photos of the property into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 91 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation.

Mr. Mark Doan, general contractor, said he had revised drawings to re-submit to retain the enclosed carport.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$5 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11051528

221 Southeast 12 Avenue
LAS OLAS YACHT CLUB LLC

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/13/11.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation:
FBC(2007) 105.4.18

A WOOD FENCE INSTALLATION HAS COMMENCED WITHOUT
OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS.

Mr. Dooley recused himself from this case and Ms. Espinal took his place on the dais.

Inspector Smilen submitted photos of the property into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$25 per day.

Mr. Guyton Bass, manager, said he did not know about the violation until the previous day because the address on the violation was "a house that we haven't lived in for two years." He said a fence was needed here to prevent people from accessing the water from Las Olas and 12 Avenue.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Hinton to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 126 days, by 2/28/12 or a fine of \$20 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Nelson opposed.

Mr. Dooley returned to the dais. Mr. Nelson left the dais and Ms. Espinal remained to take his place.

Case: CE11091331

3220 Bayview Drive # 102
PIER 41 CONDOMINIUM ASSN INC
C/O ROYAL PROPERTY MGMT GROUP INC

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/5/11.

Ron Tetreault, Fire Inspector, testified to the following violation
NFPA 101:31.3.6.2.1

DOORS THAT OPEN ONTO EXIT ACCESS CORRIDORS, OTHER THAN THOSE COMPLYING WITH 8.3.4 OR IN BUILDINGS MEETING 31.3.6.2.2, SHALL HAVE NOT LESS THAN A 20-MINUTE FIRE PROTECTION RATING IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 8.3.

Withdrawn:
FSS 633.082

Inspector Tetreault recommended ordering compliance within 182 days or a fine of \$150 per day.

Mr. Humberto Roque, new property manager, said they had only recently received the records for the condominium from the previous management group. He said the condominium board had approved assessment of all owners for replacement of all doors on the property. He requested 182 days to apply for permits and complete work.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 182 days, by 4/24/12 or a fine of \$150 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Dooley opposed.

Mr. Nelson returned to the dais.

Case: CE11041294
3216 Northeast 42 Court
TESOLIN, BRUNO &
AULENSI, JERI LYNN

Service was via posting on the property on 9/29/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
9-280(b)

1. SOME OF THE WINDOWS ARE LEAKING.
2. THE PAVERS ALONG THE DOCK AREA AND THE STEPS FROM THE POOL TO THE DOCK ARE SINKING. THEY ARE UNEVEN AND POSE A TRIPPING HAZARD.

9-308(a)
THE ROOF TILE ON THE WEST AND SOUTH FACES ARE SLIDING. MANY OF THE TILES ARE BROKEN OR MISSING.

FBC(2007) 105.1
THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS:

1. THE GARAGE HAS BEEN CONVERTED INTO A BEDROOM. INTERIOR WALLS HAVE BEEN FRAMED AND DRYWALL INSTALLED AND FINISHED. A WOODEN BASE, TO RAISE THE FLOOR ABOVE THE CONCRETE GARAGE SLAB, HAS BEEN INSTALLED.
2. THE FLORIDA ROOM HAS BEEN CONVERTED INTO LIVING SPACE. DOORS AND WINDOWS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED. THE ORIGINAL EXTERIOR WINDOWS AND DOORS HAVE BEEN REMOVED.
3. A BOAT LIFT HAS BEEN INSTALLED ON THE DOCK.
4. THE KITCHEN HAS BEEN REMODELED. NEW CABINETS, COUNTERTOPS AND APPLIANCES HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.
5. ALL OF THE BATHROOMS HAVE BEEN REMODELED. VANITY CABINETS AND TOPS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED. DRYWALL OR CONCRETE BOARDS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED IN THE SHOWERS AND TILED.
6. CABINETS AND COUNTERTOPS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED TO CREATE A BAR AREA.
7. THE ROOF ON THE SOUTH SIDE HAS BEEN REPAIRED, TRUSSES AND SHEETING.

FBC(2007) 105.4.4

THE PLUMBING SYSTEM OF THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. PIPING AND FIXTURES HAVE BEEN ADDED/REPLACED DURING THE KITCHEN REMODELING.
2. PIPING AND FIXTURES HAVE BEEN ADDED/REPLACED DURING THE BATHROOM REMODELINGS.
3. THE WATER HEATER HAS BEEN CHANGED OUT.

FBC(2007) 105.4.5

THE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM OF THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. CIRCUITS HAVE BEEN ADDED/ALTERED DURING THE KITCHEN REMODELING.
2. CIRCUITS HAVE BEEN ADDED/ALTERED DURING THE BATHROOM REMODELINGS.
3. CIRCUITS HAVE BEEN ADDED/ALTERED DURING THE CONVERSION OF THE GARAGE TO A BEDROOM, LIGHTING, OUTLETS, SWITCHES.
4. CIRCUITS HAVE BEEN ADDED/ALTERED DURING THE CONVERSION OF THE FLORIDA ROOM TO LIVING SPACE, LIGHTING, OUTLETS, SWITCHES, ETC.
5. CIRCUITS HAVE BEEN ADDED TO POWER THE BOATLIFT.

6. CIRCUITS HAVE BEEN ADDED/ALTERED FOR THE BAR AREA AND FOR THE GENERAL LIGHTING ABOVE.
7. MISC RECESSED LIGHTING, OUTLETS AND SWITCHES HAVE BEEN INSTALLED THROUGHOUT THE HOUSE.

FBC(2007) 105.4.11

THE MECHANICAL SYSTEM OF THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. THE AIR HANDLER AND CONDENSING UNIT HAVE BEEN REPLACED.
2. A/C DUCT HAS BEEN INSTALLED IN THE CONVERTED BEDROOM FROM A NON-AIR CONDITIONED GARAGE.
3. THE FLORIDA ROOM CONVERTED TO LIVING SPACE HAS INCREASED THE AIR CONDITIONED SQUARE FOOTAGE OF THE BUILDING.

FBC(2007) 109.10

WORK WAS PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED APPROVALS.

FBC(2007) 1612.1.2

THE AIR CONDENSING UNIT, THE BOAT LIFT, THE TRUSS AND ROOF REPAIRS, INSTALLED WINDOWS AND DOORS HAVE NOT BEEN PROVEN TO SUFFICIENTLY WITHSTAND ESTIMATED OR ACTUAL IMPOSED DEAD, LIVE, WIND, OR ANY OTHER LOADS THROUGH THE PERMIT AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

Inspector Ford submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 91 days or a fine of \$15 per day, per violation.

Mr. Gregory Aulensi, the owner's son, said his family had purchased the property after much of the work had been done. He stated they were willing to do the work needed to remediate the violations. Mr. Aulensi said a prior tenant had not paid rent and owed them \$127,000. The last tenant had wanted to be released from the lease and had called the City to report the problems at the property. Mr. Aulensi said he lived in Italy and needed time to organize trips back to ensure the work was being done.

Mr. Nelson was concerned that Mr. Aulensi was trying to find a new tenant before the life safety issues were addressed at the property. Mr. Aulensi said his architect would work to get the life safety issues complied as soon as possible and they intended to replace the roof sometime in 2012.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Dooley to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 91 days, by 1/24/12 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11010418

1340 Northwest 45 Street
COPILAH, LEONORA

Service was via posting on the property on 10/13/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
FBC(2007) 105.1

THE BUILDING HAS BEEN CHANGED IN THE FOLLOWING
MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS OR
INSPECTIONS:

1. FLORIDA ROOM WINDOWS FACING SOUTH WERE
REPLACED.

FBC(2007) 1612.1.2

ALL THE NEW WINDOW INSTALLATIONS HAVE NOT BEEN
DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED WIND
LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

Mr. Dooley recused himself from this case and Ms. Espinal took his place on the dais.

Inspector Oliva submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence. He stated the permit had been ready since October 23. Inspector Oliva recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation.

Ms. Venus Proffer, the owner's representative, said the owner lived outside of the U.S. Ms. Proffer said a previous tenant had replaced a broken window. In May, the owner hired a contractor, who had submitted a permit application. Ms. Proffer said there had been misinterpretation and miscommunication between the contractor, the plans reviewer and the clerk.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$5 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Mr. Dooley returned to the dais.

Case: CE10021271

3100 Northeast 29 Street # 106
ELLIS, KALEEL M III

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Mr. Warren Diener, the owner's attorney, reported a contractor had been hired and the architect needed to provide a set of sealed plans for the application. He requested a 90-day extension.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, stated issuance of the permit would comply the violations and this could be done in a couple of weeks.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to grant a 28-day extension to 11/22/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

The Board took a lunch break from 12:08 until 12:33

Case: CE11081874

5360 Northwest 35 Avenue
FRANK M RUFF REV TR
ANITA RUFF REV TR

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/5/11.

Ron Tetreault, Fire Inspector, testified to the following violation:

NFPA 1:1.12.1

WORK REQUIRES A PERMIT.

Withdrawn:

FSS 633.082

NFPA 1:11.1.2

NFPA 1:13.3.1.1

NFPA 101:7.2.1.5.2

NFPA 101:7.9.2.1

NFPA 1962:4.3.2

NFPA 1:14.4.1

Inspector Tetreault recommended ordering compliance within 182 days or a fine of \$150 per day.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 182 days, by 4/24/12 or a fine of \$150 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11051192

2227 Southwest 5 Street
BURCE, MELISSA

Service was via posting on the property on 10/12/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
FBC(2007) 105.1

THE BUILDING HAS BEEN CHANGED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS OR INSPECTIONS:

1. A WOOD DECK WITH A ROOF WAS BUILT AT THE REAR OF THE DWELLING.

FBC(2007) 1604.1

THE STRUCTURES FOR THE WOOD DECK AND THE ROOF COVER DO NOT MEET THE STANDARD FOR GRAVITY LOADING AND HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED WIND LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS. ALL THE STRUCTURES THAT WERE DONE ILLEGALLY ARE DEEMED TO BE UNSAFE AND THE CONSTRUCTION IS UNDERDESIGNED. THEY WOULD NOT PROVIDE THE REQUIRED RESISTANCE TO UPLIFT. THE CODE PROTECTS NEIGHBORS FROM FLYING DEBRIS IN A STORM, WHICH THIS STRUCTURE MAY BECOME. THEY MUST BE REMOVED.

Inspector Oliva explained that the owner was having a debate with Zoning regarding the setbacks. He submitted photos of the property and recommended ordering compliance within 91 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 126 days, by 2/28/11 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11052398

711 W Broward Blvd
RONALD CUTLER TR
CUTLER, RONALD TRSTEE C/O A RAVITCH

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/11/11.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:

FBC(2007) 105.1

THE COMMERCIAL BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED WITH AN
OPENING CUT THROUGH THE MASONRY TENANT SEPARATION
WALL WITHOUT A PERMIT.

FBC(2007) 1604.1

THE DOOR OPENING CUT IN THE LOAD BEARING MASONRY
WALL HAS NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND ALL
LOADS BEARING ON THE WALL THROUGH THE PERMITTING
AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

Inspector Smilen submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11020582

1615 Northeast 3 Court
HUNTER, SUSANA

Service was via posting on the property on 10/12/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:

FBC(2007) 105.1

THE SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE
FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED
PERMITS:

1. NEW WINDOWS ARE INSTALLED.
2. NEW DOORS ARE INSTALLED.
3. A CARPORT IS ENCLOSED AND CONVERTED INTO AN EFFICIENCY UNIT.
4. THE FRONT OF THE PROPERTY HAS BEEN COVERED IN ASPHALT.

FBC(2007) 105.4.4

THE PLUMBING SYSTEM HAS BEEN ALTERED WITHOUT A PERMIT BY ADDING A BATHROOM TO THE ENCLOSED CARPORT.

FBC(2007) 105.4.5

THE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS:

1. PREMISE WIRING WAS ADDED FOR THE ENCLOSED CARPORT.
2. A POST LIGHT HAS BEEN INSTALLED.
3. EXTERIOR OUTLETS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED.

FBC(2007) 105.4.8

AWNINGS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED ON THE BUILDING WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS.

FBC(2007) 105.4.18

A WOOD FENCE HAS BEEN INSTALLED ON THE PROPERTY WITHOUT A PERMIT.

FBC(2007) 109.10

THE CARPORT STRUCTURE HAS BEEN ENCLOSED AND ALL OF THE WORK HAS BEEN COVERED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED APPROVALS THROUGH THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

FBC(2007) 110.1.1

THE USE AND OCCUPANCY OF THE SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE HAS CHANGED FROM THE ORIGINALLY PERMITTED OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATION WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY.

Inspector Smilen submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 91 days or a fine of \$15 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11050309

1026 Northwest 7 Avenue
NAIDU, SANJEEVAI

Service was via posting on the property on 10/11/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:

FBC(2007) 105.1

A PORCH HAS BEEN ENCLOSED IN THE REAR OF THE SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS.

FBC(2007) 1604.1

THE WALLS FOR THE PORCH ENCLOSURE DO NOT MEET THE STANDARD FOR GRAVITY LOADING AND HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED WIND LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

Inspector Smilen said the case had been opened as the result of a complaint. He submitted photos of the property into evidence.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$15 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Dooley opposed.

Case: CE10070297

3038 North Federal Highway # E
3038 PARTNERS LLC

Service was via posting on the property on 9/29/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation:

FBC 105.1

DURING A BUSINESS TAX INSPECTION ON 6/22/10, I FOUND INTERIOR PARTITIONS HAD BEEN INSTALLED IN THIS SPACE. A NEW CIRCUIT BREAKER PANEL HAS BEEN INSTALLED AND THE OLD PANEL TURNED INTO A J BOX WITH NO COVER AND WIRES EXPOSED IN THE AIR HANDLER CLOSET. I WAS NOT ABLE TO FIND PERMITS FOR THIS WORK IN PERMIT RECORDS. THE AIR HANDLER WAS RELOCATED TO THIS CLOSET AND INSTALLED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT IT CANNOT BE SERVICED.

Inspector Ford submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$20 per day.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Hinton to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$20 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10091199

844 Northwest 10 Terrace
MAKHOUL, GEORGE

Service was via posting on the property on 10/13/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
9-313(a)

THERE IS NO ADDRESS OR BAY IDENTIFICATION ON THE BUILDING.

FBC(2007) 105.1

THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS:

1. AN INTERIOR OFFICE OR ROOM HAS BEEN BUILT INSIDE THE STORAGE BAY.

FBC(2007) 105.4.5

THE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM OF THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. MISC ELECTRICAL FIXTURES AND OUTLETS HAVE BEEN ADDED.

FBC(2007) 105.4.11

THE MECHANICAL SYSTEM OF THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. A WALL A/C UNIT HAS BEEN INSTALLED IN THE OFFICE INSIDE THE STORAGE BAY.

FBC(2007) 1612.1.2

THE WALL AIR CONDITIONING UNITS HAVE NOT BEEN PROVEN TO SUFFICIENTLY WITHSTAND ESTIMATED OR ACTUAL IMPOSED DEAD, LIVE, WIND, OR ANY OTHER LOADS THROUGH THE PERMIT AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

Inspector Ford submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$20 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$25 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11031983

2741 Northeast 25 Place
AVERY, MICHAEL R

Service was via posting on the property on 10/7/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
FBC(2007) 105.1

THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE FOLLOWING
MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS:

1. A SHED HAS BEEN INSTALLED ON THE EAST SIDE OF
THE PROPERTY.

FBC(2007) 1612.1.2

THE SHED HAS NOT BEEN PROVEN TO SUFFICIENTLY
WITHSTAND ESTIMATED OR ACTUAL IMPOSED DEAD, LIVE,
WIND, OR ANY OTHER LOADS THROUGH THE PERMIT AND
INSPECTION PROCESS.

Inspector Ford submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11052218

2730 Northeast 30 Place
PETRUCCI, PETER D

Service was via posting on the property on 10/5/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
FBC(2007) 105.1

THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE FOLLOWING
MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS:

1. AN ALUMINUM AWNING HAS BEEN INSTALLED AT THE
FRONT ENTRANCE OF THE MIDDLE APARTMENT.

2. A WOOD HOMEBUILT SHUTTER HAS BEEN INSTALLED ON THE BACK EXTERIOR SLIDING GLASS DOOR ON THE WEST APARTMENT, 2726.
3. THE KITCHEN IS BEING REMODELED IN UNIT 2730.
4. A KITCHENETTE HAS BEEN INSTALLED IN UNIT 2730 IN THE BACK BEDROOM.
5. DRYWALL REPAIR IS BEING DONE IN UNIT 2730.
6. A WOOD FENCE HAS BEEN INSTALLED.
7. EXTERIOR DOORS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED IN THE LAUNDRY IN THE BACK OF THE PROPERTY AND IN THE BACK ROOM OF UNIT 2730.

FBC(2007) 105.4.4

THE PLUMBING SYSTEM OF THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. PLUMBING HAS BEEN INSTALLED IN THE BACK ROOM OF UNIT 2730 TO SUPPLY THE WASHER.
2. WASTE PIPE HAS BEEN INSTALLED AND IS RUNNING ALONG THE BASEBOARD.
3. A GAS WATER HEATER HAS BEEN INSTALLED AND HAS A SERIAL NUMBER FOR BEING MANUFACTURED IN 2002.
4. PLUMBING HAS BEEN INSTALLED TO SUPPLY THE LAUNDRY THAT IS LOCATED IN THE SAME ROOM AS THE WATER HEATER IN THE BACK OF THE BUILDING.
5. PIPING AND FIXTURES ARE BEING ADDED/REPLACED DURING THE KITCHEN REMODELING IN UNIT 2730.
6. PIPING AND FIXTURES WERE INSTALLED IN THE KITCHENETTE INSTALLED IN THE BACK BEDROOM OF UNIT 2730.

FBC(2007) 105.4.5

THE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM OF THE BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER:

1. CIRCUITS ARE BEING ADDED/ALTERED DURING THE KITCHEN REMODELING IN UNIT 2730.
2. THERE IS MISC ROMEX RUNNING THROUGHOUT UNIT 2730.
3. CIRCUITS WERE ADDED TO POWER THE WASHER AND DRYER IN UNIT 2730.

FBC(2007) 109.10

WORK WAS PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED APPROVALS.

FBC(2007) 708.1 1.

THERE IS AN INTERIOR HOLLOW CORE DOOR THAT

SEPARATES UNIT 2730 AND THE MIDDLE APARTMENT,
2728, LOCATED IN THE KITCHEN OF UNIT 2730.

Inspector Ford submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence. He said he had posted a stop work order on the property and the prior tenant had informed him that work was continuing. Inspector Ford had witnessed work continuing but the owner's representative had sent a letter questioning why he had posted a stop work order because no work was being done without permits. Inspector Ford informed the Board that there were no permits for any work to be done at the property. He recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$30 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Mr. Nelson to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 11/22/11 or a fine of \$30 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE09110699

3111 Northeast 51 Street # 105C
MCGLINCHEY, DWAYNE

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 9/27/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/7/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$270 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the \$270 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10100508

1407 Holly Heights Drive
KEYSTONE DEVELOPER SERVICE LLC

This case was first heard on 7/26/11 to comply by 9/27/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/7/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$540 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Burt Ford, Building Inspector, said the fence permit application had been submitted the previous day and recommended a 28-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Ellis to grant a 28-day extension to 11/22/11, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 6-1 with Mr. Thilborger opposed.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to amend the compliance date on the Final Oder from 9/27/11 to 10/25/11. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10042246

3333 Northeast 36 Street # 2
DADDARIO, ANGELA

This case was first heard on 4/26/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/10/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was complied, fines had accrued to \$35 and the City was recommending no fine be imposed.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to impose no fine. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10042248

3333 Northeast 36 Street # 3
BAILEY, MAUREEN

This case was first heard on 4/26/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/10/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was complied, fines had accrued to \$35 and the City was recommending no fine be imposed.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to impose no fine. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10042243

3333 Northeast 36 Street # 4
RICHARD D FELDMANN REV TR
FELDMANN, RICHARD D TRSTEE

This case was first heard on 4/26/11 to comply by 6/28/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/10/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was complied, fines had accrued to \$35 and the City was recommending no fine be imposed.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to impose no fine. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11021410

1325 Southwest 30 Street
GAZZOLA, OLIVIER R J

This case was first heard on 6/28/11 to comply by 9/27/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/7/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$135 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, said he had spoken with the owner's attorney, who did not understand what was going on. He recommended imposition of the fine.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the \$135 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10120978

2121 Northwest 6 Place
KOHUTH, RUSSELL EST

This case was first heard on 9/27/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/13/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, said he had not heard from the owners and nothing had been done. He recommended imposition of the fine.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Hinton to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and therefore the fines as stated in the Order would begin on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10090377

1025 Northwest 8 Avenue
CEA, JEANNITTE &
CEA, JOSUE

This case was first heard on 4/26/11 to comply by 7/26/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/12/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$1,080 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, said there was a new tenant in the apartment that was supposed to have been vacated. The owner had also installed a new air conditioner in apartment 1. Inspector Oliva recommended imposition of the fine.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Hinton to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the \$1,080 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11052306

2645 Southwest 6 Court
HOUSING FOUNDATION OF AMERICA INC

This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations were as noted in the agenda. Service was via posting on the property on 10/12/11 and at City Hall on 10/13/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, said there was a tenant living on the property. He reminded the Board that the tie beams had been cut. He agreed to ask Supervisor Hernandez about referring the property to Unsafe Structures.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and therefore the fines as stated in the Order would begin on 10/26/11 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10101100

1033 Northeast 16 Terrace
MAINI, ARCHANA
SHETH, KISHOR

This case was first heard on 2/22/11 to comply by 4/26/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 10/12/11. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$4,575 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, said the permits had been ready for pickup since 7/20/11. He said people were living in the rear of the duplex and the work had not been completed. Inspector Smilen presented photos of the property into evidence.

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the \$4,575 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Approval of Meeting Minutes

[This item was heard out of order]

Motion made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to approve the minutes of the Board's September meeting. In a voice vote, motion passed 7 – 0.

Communication to the City Commission

None.

For the Good of the City

None.

Cases Complied

Ms. Paris announced that the below listed cases were complied. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:


CE11091330 CE11032908 CE11020641 CE11011144
CE09060554

Cases Withdrawn

Ms. Paris announced that the below listed cases were withdrawn. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by reference:

CE10042353 CE11030105 CE11051850
CE11060179 CE10051428 CE10101072
CE11041536 CE11041539 CE10080162
CE11011965 CE10051025

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 1:25 P.M.


Chair, Code Enforcement Board

ATTEST:


Clerk, Code Enforcement Board

NOTE: The agenda associated with this meeting is incorporated into this record by reference.

Minutes prepared by: Jamie Opperlee, ProtoType Inc.