

**CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE  
UTILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
TUESDAY, JANUARY 22, 2008 – 6:30 P.M.  
100 NORTH ANDREWS AVE., 8TH FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM  
FT. LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA 33301**

**Jan. 2008 to Dec. 2008  
Cumulative  
Attendance**

<b><u>Committee Member</u></b>	<b><u>Attendance</u></b>	<b><u>Present</u></b>	<b><u>Absent</u></b>
Bunney Brenneman, Chair	P	1	0
Bernard Petreccia, Vice Chair	P	1	0
Richard Barrett	A	0	1
Robert Caine	P	1	0
L. Thomas Chancey	P	1	0
Robert Cole	P	1	0
Caldwell Cooper	A	0	1
Dr. Magdalene Lewis	P	1	0
Terri Murru	P	1	0
Raymond Parker	P	1	0
Fred Stresau	P	1	0
Debora van Valkenburgh	P	1	0
Claire Vickery	P	1	0
Nathaniel Wilkerson	A	0	1

**City Representatives**

Peter Partington, City Engineer & Staff Liaison

Brigitte Chiappetta, Recording Clerk, Prototype, Inc.

**Guests**

Lynn Shatas, FPL

Rod Macon, FPL

Vic Beninate, AT&T

Don Sadler, AT&T

**I. Call to Order**

Chair Brenneman called the meeting to order at 6:40 p.m.

**II. Roll Call**

Roll was called and attendance noted. A quorum was present.

**III. Self Introductions**

Committee members and guests introduced themselves, including new Committee Member, Debora van Valkenburgh.

#### **IV. Minutes of November 27, 2007 Meeting**

There being no objections or corrections to the minutes of the November 27, 2007 meeting, the minutes were approved as presented.

#### **V. Old Business**

- **Line Clearing Update**

Ms. Shatas provided all UAC members with a packet containing the 2008 First Quarter Line Clearance information from FPL. Ms. Shatas explained that these packets contained maps of each of the substations that are going to have line clearance done. This information included the homeowners' associations which are impacted by the line clearance as to each substation. Ms. Shatas stated that each of those homeowners' associations' Presidents will be sent a letter, followed up by letters sent to the homeowners two weeks prior to line clearance. Finally, door hangers will be placed on the homeowners' doors one to two days prior to the line clearance. Ms. Shatas then advised that line clearance would begin sometime in February.

Ms. Shatas stated that she will provide these line clearance packets as soon as they become available each quarter.

In addition, Ms. Shatas provided the Committee with a sustainability report, including new types of energy that FPL is implementing.

Mr. Stresau asked Ms. Shatas if FPL was going to clear the main lines every year. Ms. Shatas responded that every year the top tier of the main critical infrastructure would be cleared.

Chair Brenneman asked Ms. Shatas to convey to Vegetation Management that the Committee was grateful for the provided information, and noted that the proposed line clearings include areas that will be critical to the City of Fort Lauderdale even though they are outside of the City of Fort Lauderdale.

Ms. Shatas asked that she be apprised of the convening of the Tree Preservation Sub-Committee so that FPL can be in attendance.

Mr. Chancey advised that the Tree Sub-Committee was not focusing on line clearance, but on preservation, and that he had been taking notes throughout the City where palm problems were seen in those areas where the pilot program was not in effect. Mr. Chancey commended FPL for following through in a critical area where palms were cut down to ground level and 6 to 8 palms were tagged for removal and replacement in an area where they can still be utilized. Further, Mr. Chancey stated that rather than side trimming palms, they should be considered for removal and replacement away from lines.

Mr. Chancey asked that Ms. Shatas' program concerning line clearance be placed on the agenda at a Tree Preservation Sub-Committee meeting. Chair Brenneman advised Mr. Chancey that she had noted Mr. Stresau's request for the foregoing to be an agenda item for the Tree Preservation Sub-Committee.

- **Status on RFP #3**

Mr. Partington advised that RFP #3 was predominantly about undergrounding. Mr. Partington recapped that this Committee passed a motion at its last meeting to request the City Manager allow the UAC to review this RFP before it was disseminated. Mr. Partington informed the Committee that the RFP should be available for review this week, and that each member will receive a copy in draft form for input from the Committee. Copies will also be provided to the City Commissioners, to the understanding of Mr. Partington.

Mr. Stresau asked Mr. Partington what will be required of each Committee member upon receipt of the RFP draft, and specifically, where comments on the RFP should be directed, i.e., purchasing department, or held until next Committee meeting.

Mr. Partington suggested that each Committee member individually send comments back to the purchasing manager, copying Mr. Partington, but without copying any other Committee member. Mr. Partington stated that if the comments are significant enough, it may be appropriate to have a discussion within the Committee.

Mr. Caine made a request to receive the RFP in an e-mail format, of which Mr. Partington noted. Chair Brenneman reminded Mr. Partington that the Committee voted previously to receive all information via e-mail, with the exception of Dr. Lewis and Mr. Wilkerson who will each receive a hard copy.

Chair Brenneman further reminded the Committee that it was agreed upon at the last meeting that when RFP #3 became available, a special meeting would be scheduled in order to allow the Committee's input before being returned to the Purchasing Director, and that input would also be provided to each City Commissioner. Chair Brenneman

suggested that the special meeting be scheduled prior to the next Commission meeting, which would take place on February 5, 2008.

Mr. Partington suggested that if the Committee should decide to have a special meeting as to the RFP #3, that it would be desirable to have a quorum present in order that a motion could be made upon any suggestions concerning the RFP.

Mr. Cole asked Mr. Partington to explain the RFP that is currently out. Mr. Partington stated that when the Commission was given a presentation by FPL on their program, the Commission indicated that they might be interested in the program, but wanted to investigate the City's engineering work through consultants for neighborhoods. As such, the RFP currently out is seeking consultants with the expertise to handle the engineering side of the work, in coordination with FPL to progress undergrounding by means of assessment projects.

Mr. Partington's recollection was that the funding for the project would be fronted by the City initially, with collection for the entirety of the cost from the individual neighborhoods, even if separate from an assessment.

Mr. Partington further explained that the first RFP was to investigate the possibility of privatizing the system, the second RFP was aimed more at undergrounding city-wide, and the present RFP focuses on methods to underground that are not initiated by neighborhoods wishing to do so by assessment.

Ms. Murru questioned if this was going to be done city-wide, why would tax dollars be invested to do the undergrounding neighborhood by neighborhood. Ms. Murru explained that she thought the RFP #3 was going to supersede the previous RFP, to which Mr. Partington advised that it does not. Ms. Murru confirmed that there was currently one RFP out and another to be soon to follow.

Mr. Partington explained that the first RFP was out there to find consultants that the City and neighborhoods might be able to work with, if assessments are going to proceed. Mr. Partington further advised that this RFP brings consultants on board without providing them with any consulting work at all. The Commission would then need to decide whether to go in that direction.

Mr. Partington reiterated that with the first RFP there is no work, but with the subsequent RFP a budget would be established. Money would also need to be identified to pay the consultants.

Vice Chair Petreccia opined that there are different types of neighborhoods in Fort Lauderdale that require or demand undergrounding, and there are others that can wait.

For instance, all waterfront properties must place the pole lines in the front. Vice Chair Petreccia felt that such an appearance is undesirable, and that in such cases undergrounding is really necessary. Vice Chair Petreccia questioned Mr. Partington as to whether a prioritization of neighborhoods for undergrounding should be within the RFP, and Mr. Partington indicated that this may, very well, need to be a part of the RFP, but that it was currently unknown as to whether this was currently contained within RFP #3.

Ms. Murru asked Chair Brenneman if the Committee would entertain a Motion to schedule the special meeting through the Infrastructure Sub-Committee. Ms. Murru and Mr. Stresau then discussed whether the Infrastructure Sub-Committee would be the best vehicle for the scheduling of a special meeting to discuss RFP #3. Chair Brenneman pointed out that any such meeting would still have to be advertised and noticed. Vice Chair Petreccia expressed concern in scheduling such a meeting at this time inasmuch as it was still unknown when the materials would be provided to the Committee for review. Chair Brenneman again advised that the special meeting would need to occur prior to the Commission meeting on February 5, 2008, and that at least 8 members would have to be in attendance for a quorum.

A discussion was had among the Committee as to when to schedule the special meeting for the review of RFP #3, and voting, if necessary. Mr. Stresau suggested that the meeting occur at 5:00 p.m., and tentatively on January 30<sup>th</sup> or 31<sup>st</sup>, 2008, if the RFP was sent to the Committee for review by the 28<sup>th</sup> or 29<sup>th</sup> of January. Mr. Partington reiterated that it is possible that the draft of the RFP #3 would not be available for review by early next week.

**Motion** by Ms. Murru, seconded by Mr. Stresau, to convene the UAC in a special meeting, with preference given to January 30, 2008, at 5:00 p.m., to review RFP #3. In a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Stresau again asked Mr. Partington to explain the differences between the RFP currently on the street and RFP #3. Mr. Partington informed the Committee that the RFP currently on the street is just to find a way, without hiring additional City staff, to take up FPL's undergrounding program, which is built around the idea that undergrounding would be by assessment on neighborhoods or areas with a financial incentive for that to occur through a 25% contribution from FPL. Mr. Partington stated that this was the program put in front of the City Commission by FPL, and the Commission had not yet accepted, agreed to, or rejected this RFP at this time.

However, Mr. Partington explained that the Commission had clearly indicated that they did not want to bring on staff to handle assessment projects, but had inquired as to bringing private sector expertise to bear on this issue, without committing to those

individuals that they will get any work or be paid at all.

- **Other Old Business**

Mr. Stresau previously brought up a specific instance in the Northwest section of a pole placement that was done in the field by the AT&T crew and the FPL field & crew supervisor. Ms. Shatas reported that a new sidewalk was put in around the pole, and that a meeting would be scheduled with Mr. Partington and FPL representatives to avoid the placement of poles in the middle of existing sidewalks in the future.

Mr. Stresau stated that he recently observed a crew from Mt. Airy, North Carolina, installing an FPL pole. He asked Ms. Shatas if such a crew (i.e., from another part of the country) could have been responsible for placement of the pole in the center of the sidewalk, and did not know that the pole should have been placed elsewhere. Ms. Shatas indicated that she would clarify this with the out-of-state crews that are handling replacement of poles. She further advised that as a part of FPL's Storm Secure Plan, all poles within the service territory are checked and that it is a 5-step process. If poles need to be replaced, Ms. Shatas stated there is an outside crew performing that task. Ms. Shatas indicated that she felt the incorrect placement of the pole in the center of the sidewalk could have been related to the construction of the project on the property site, and would personally be inquiring into the matter.

Mr. Chancey advised that he observed the installation of sewers at Riverside Park, and that the last part of that sewer project was occurring right now. Specifically, he noticed 3 trucks with poles. Mr. Chancey believed that the crew was digging in the sidewalk itself for the placement of the poles. Mr. Chancey informed Ms. Shatas that he was going to check on his way home to see if the poles were actually being installed in the middle of the sidewalk. Ms. Shatas asked Mr. Chancey to advise her via e-mail of the status of those poles.

Ms. Shatas explained that a common question about the installation of new FPL or AT&T poles is how the utility companies know to transfer their facilities when a pole is replaced. Ms. Shatas stated that when the facilities are transferred to the new pole, FPL's equipment goes on top, then telephone and cable below that. The process of informing the utility companies that their facilities need to be transferred is known as "engines".

Mr. Stresau inquired as to how long the process of replacing poles general takes. Mr. Beninate explained that approximately one-half of the poles in the area belong to AT&T, and that FPL transfers their facilities first and then notifies AT&T. He advised that the second group to transfer facilities would be cable, with AT&T being third in line. Mr. Beninate was not certain as to how long this process takes.

Ms. Shatas advised that regarding Nate Wilkerson's request concerning the transformers, that Mr. Wilkerson would be getting in touch with Ms. Shatas to meet in the field.

Later in the meeting, Mr. Chancey informed the Committee that he had sent his daughter to the location at Riverside Park where poles were being installed, and that she had called to advise that one of the poles was installed 2 feet into the sidewalk, and that the remainder of the poles were also being placed into the sidewalk. Mr. Chancey expressed that this particular installation may be an opportunity to address a potential problem early.

## **VI. New Business**

- **Election of Chairman**

Chair Brenneman advised that it was time to elect a new Chairman of the UAC, and that nominations would now be entertained for that position. Ms. Murru nominated Bunney Brenneman as Chair and Bernard Petreccia as Vice Chair, which was seconded by Mr. Caine. Chair Brenneman stated that these positions should be taken up separately in order to accept other nominations.

Motion made by Ms. Murru, seconded by Mr. Stresau, to nominate Chair Brenneman as Chair. Chair Brenneman accepted the nomination. No other nominations having been made, in a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

- **Election of Vice Chairman**

Motion made by Ms. Murru, seconded by Mr. Stresau, to nominate Vice Chair Petreccia as Vice Chair. Vice Chair Petreccia accepted the nomination. No other nominations having been made, in a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

- **Update on Draft Ordinance: Regulation of Telecommunication Equipment in Rights of Way**

Mr. Partington stated that this matter was no longer a "Draft" Ordinance, since it had been approved. It does apply to all telecommunication equipment, with AT&T being the first to navigate the new Ordinance. Mr. Partington advised that the Ordinance does not apply to poles, but does apply to cabinets, regulating sizes of cabinets, allowing a waiver, and putting in place the notification requirements for adjoining property owners and neighborhoods, as well as putting in place landscaping, maintenance of landscaping requirements and the graffiti management plan. Mr. Partington further advised that the Ordinance does not require undergrounding, unless at some time in the

future it can be demonstrated that it would become a viable technical possibility. With the current equipment AT&T is utilizing, there is no viable underground system, short of a massive vault, which is virtually impossible and impractical to install. Such a vault would need to be totally watertight, air conditioned, containing sophisticated computer equipment which supercharges the lines that AT&T already have in place.

Mr. Partington informed the UAC that the first few applications are starting to come in under the new Ordinance, but there have been no installations as yet.

- **Status of Telecommunication Equipment – Private Easements, etc.**

Mr. Partington advised that there would be installation of a maximum of three cabinets per block, which cannot be placed in front yards in residential areas, but instead must be in the side yards. Mr. Partington stated that to his understanding, the Ordinance that has been passed does not apply to easements, but instead any installations in easements come under ULDR regulations.

Ms. Vickery voiced a concern that individuals had come before the UAC who were very troubled because they had not able to negotiate a cabinet or box being placed on their private property, but instead just found that they had been “dumped” on their private property, when there were opportunities to have considered the right-of-way first. Ms. Vickery inquired as to whether the Committee could deal with issues such as that.

Chair Brenneman stated that at the two Commission conference sessions held in the afternoon, and the evening session where the Ordinance passed on two readings, AT&T apologized that their field personnel took the approach that they did and just literally told the homeowner that they were probably not very important in this whole thing and they could do what they wanted to do. AT&T has evidenced a new spirit of cooperation and had brought all of their people down here, especially to assure the Commission, the Staff and City residents that they are always willing to listen and try to work something out. In that spirit of cooperation, they gave the City Staff a great deal of input and worked together with the City Staff to come up with the Draft Ordinance, with both sides fully participating. It then went to the Commission, and they have been extremely cooperative and have included tours of one of their huge underground locations, as well as field trips locating their boxes and the different types of boxes.

Mr. Vickery inquired as to the likelihood of an Easements Ordinance.

Mr. Partington expressed that he anticipates if and when they start to occur again in the easements, the installations will be subject to a kind of test case or cases where it would bring to bear the ULDR regulations. Mr. Partington stated that he did not believe there will be a comparable ordinance to the one that now exists for right-of-way applying

to easements, because it is the Staff's position – predominantly the attorneys and the planners – that there are already sufficient regulations in place. In Mr. Partington's opinion, the Right-of-Way Ordinance has already achieved a reduction in the size of cabinets that AT&T proposes, and may make dealing with the ULDR regulations easier.

Chair Brenneman asked Mr. Beninate if he could provide any assurances that the proposed cabinet location for Mr. Sandquist's property in Imperial Point has been satisfactorily resolved. Mr. Beninate stated that AT&T will work with Mr. Sandquist concerning that issue. In addition the large box on the east side of 20<sup>th</sup> Way will be replaced with a few smaller boxes or something comparable in conjunction with the homeowner. Mr. Beninate concurred that any further placement of boxes would involve negotiation with the homeowners.

Vice Chair Petreccia inquired as to neighborhoods, such as Imperial Point, where all the poles were installed in the rear of the property, in which the street crossings for the telephone were underground, but the cabling for Comcast were aerial. Mr. Beninate advised that he cannot comment on Comcast's procedures, but that AT&T normally follows the path that already exists. He did offer to check out any specific concerns that were brought to his attention.

Mr. Chancey stated that he noticed a few boxes that were painted green and then leaves were painted over the background, where the result was a very natural looking box that blended in with the landscaping. Mr. Chancey admitted that the painted boxes were very attractive, and asked if this was going to be a common practice with the boxes.

As an example, Mr. Chancey referred to 62<sup>nd</sup> Avenue in Imperial Point where there were two boxes located between 18<sup>th</sup> and U.S.1. Mr. Chancey expressed that it would be aesthetically pleasing to paint these boxes, rather than plant shrubs around the boxes, which could potentially pose a safety risk for pedestrians. In addition, Mr. Chancey questioned whether these boxes could have been placed in the easement located in the rear of the homeowner's property. Mr. Chancey believed that this issue has arisen because the boxes are being placed at the entrance to neighborhoods, right in front of their "well-designed entrances."

Mr. Beninate admitted that there were times in the past where boxes were not placed as artfully as they should have been, some of which have since been corrected. He gave his assurances that AT&T was now a lot more sensitive to not only what is functionally correct, but also as to what is aesthetically correct. Mr. Beninate advised that there was one gentleman who was responsible for the design of all the boxes and that he and others at AT&T were much more sensitive to the placement of the boxes in locations that would not detract from neighborhoods.

Mr. Chancey asked Mr. Beninate if he was aware of who was responsible for the painting of the boxes, and Mr. Beninate believed that it was probably someone who volunteered to paint them. At Chair Brenneman's request, Mr. Chancey agreed to take photographs of the camouflaged boxes.

Ms. Murru stated that this same issue previously came up, and that she felt it was a perfect partnership to work with our local art museum and/or school aged children, in order to create an aesthetically pleasing solution to camouflage the boxes.

Chair Brenneman asked the Committee members to investigate who painted the camouflaged boxes, or to take photographs of them, if the Committee found these to be aesthetically pleasing.

- **UAC Energy Roundtable**

Chair Brenneman asked the Committee to advise if they were interested in having an energy roundtable.

Ms. Murru challenged the Committee to get creative as to how this could be done, such as virtually (on-line) or physically together in one location, and to consider the best way to do this both cost-effectively and energy-effectively. Ms. Murru suggested that the Energy Roundtable could be dovetailed with an existing event.

Chair Brenneman stated that she had checked last Fall with P.I.O. Director, Ted Lawson, who investigated possible dates, of which Thursday, April 24, 2008, was recommended. This date does not conflict with the Mayor's State of the City, or the District III's State of the District, or any of the events that take place in the March/April time period and which would potentially conflict. Also, Mr. Lawson indicated that if the Committee desired to go forward with the Energy Roundtable, he would assign Shannon Vezina to handle this.

In line with Ms. Murru's suggestion, Chair Brenneman proposed that this event should go completely "green," accommodating not only energy but also the next potential crisis, water. The City Manager would need to agree with this proposal in order for City departments to participate.

Chair Brenneman informed the Committee that she conducted research via the internet and believed there are a number of possibilities to be utilized for such an event, particularly educational handouts, and all facets of "green" together with money savings to residents. In addition, Chair Brenneman stated that the City is in a crisis as to our tree canopy, and that the City Commission specifically gave back to the Tree Sub-committee

a mission of trying to enhance the City's tree canopy. In this area, there are two members of this Committee with long-standing City businesses with expertise, Fred Stresau and Tom Chancey, who are original members of this Committee. Chair Brenneman suggested that Mr. Stresau and Mr. Chancey be called upon in order to be included in the event, in which case the Committee would need to work with Mr. Dempsey.

Mr. Chancey announced that he has already been working with Mr. Dempsey on this proposal, and that the City is going to host Arbor Day on April 25, 2008, at the Women's Club in the park at the downtown library. Mr. Chancey further stated that he also serves on the Broward County Naturescape Task Force with Commissioner Jacobs, and has just attended meetings relating to this subject. In addition, Mr. Chancey confirmed that there are statistics on the benefit of the cooling effect from the tree canopy.

Mr. Chancey suggested combining the Arbor Day program and this Committee's proposed Green Program, to include vendors to host displays, and blocking the street between the downtown library and the parking garage in order to set up booths. Mr. Chancey felt this type of event would be more widely attended, than having lectures in the Library in the evenings, which typically has very low attendance.

Chair Brenneman suggested the name "Green Energy Roundtable," but welcomed other suggestions. In addition, Chair Brenneman saw this Committee as being a vehicle, in line with the mission as to the tree canopy, to put the mission into action. To be more proactive, Chair Brenneman suggested that the library not be used, and instead to move back to City Hall so this would dovetail more with Mr. Chancey's suggestion. Mr. Chancey stated that he would like to suggest the event be held outside, with lectures being held outside, connected with outdoor booths. The Arbor Day event would be held on Friday, April 25, 2008, in the morning.

Chair Brenneman commented that because people would be working, an evening schedule should be utilized.

Ms. Murru stated that she fully supports these ideas, including dovetailing this event with the existing Arbor Day event, potentially pulling in the recycling group and perhaps even collaborating with the County, and combining all events into one, rather than separate smaller events. Ms. Murru believed it is the responsibility of this Committee to take a leadership position in this area.

Chair Brenneman advised that she got a commitment from Maroone relating to their hybrid cars, as well as another car company, and both were enthusiastic about participating in an outdoor venue in the early evening and would provide automobiles for active demonstrations.

Mr. Caine stated that he believes he can get a commitment to have a solar electric demonstration.

Mr. Chancey stated that last spring he implemented a pilot program for the School Board, involving conservation and a butterfly garden, which included the children, for educational purposes. At the end of the program there were approximately 150 children in a courtyard where butterflies and lady bugs were released. Mr. Chancey was especially impressed that at the Open House, held on a Saturday at the conclusion of the program, hundreds of people attended. While Mr. Chancey supported an early evening event, he believed that if this is held outside, everything could be tied together. In addition, Mr. Chancey will be working with environmental science teachers at a high school on a program, and believed that several hundred children can be pulled into such an event, which would likewise pull in their parents, which would then increase the efforts of this Committee.

Mr. Chancey felt that it would be possible to even schedule the event on Saturday, April 26, 2008, which would coincide with National Arbor Day on Friday, April 25, 2008. Mr. Chancey expressed that an all-day event on a Saturday would be best, with the cost to vendors being whatever the cost would be for the City for their expenses surrounding this event. Mr. Chancey believed that this event involves issues that are currently of great concern to the public.

Ms. van Valkenburgh asked the Committee if they would consider bringing in a nationally-recognized speaker, such as Al Gore, which was met with agreement, so long as there was no charge to the City for such a speaker. Ms. van Valkenburgh also suggested that the Green Airport Initiative, which is at the International and Executive Airports, could get on board with the event.

Mr. Stresau stated that he does a great deal of work with A&L Laboratories, an agricultural laboratory in Fort Lauderdale, and that it might be worthwhile have them put together a brief presentation on how grass and plants should be watered to encourage water conservation.

Chair Brenneman encouraged and invited AT&T and FPL to participate in this event.

Chair Brenneman felt that April 26, 2008 would be the best date for the event as it would allow daytime activities to be scheduled, as it is a Saturday, to begin at 10:00 a.m. However, April 25, 2008, in the evening is also being considered. Any ideas from Committee members as to the event should be sent to Chair Brenneman via one-way e-mail communication.

- **Other New Business**

Ms. Murru stated that she would like to go to the City to inform them that it is 69.8 degrees in the 8<sup>th</sup> Floor Conference Room. In addition, Ms. Murru's packet was mailed to her. She would like to receive further information via e-mail, rather than hard copy by mail. Chair Brenneman explained that Mr. Partington's assistant, Betty Crews, is on vacation. In her absence, the Office Manager in the Engineering Department sent the information via mail, not realizing that this Committee had already elected not to receive hard copy mailings.

**VII. Good of the Committee**

The Green Energy Roundtable was tentatively scheduled for Saturday, April 26, 2008, and information will be provided by Mr. Chancey as to Arbor Day and the remaining matters discussed as to this event, as well as by Ms. van Valkenburgh.

**VIII. Next Meeting**

UAC Regular Meeting: Tuesday, February 26, 2008 @ 6:30 pm.

**IX. Adjourn**

With no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 8:31 pm.