



**CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE**  
**RFQ EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEETING #2 TABULATION (FINAL)**  
**DATE: APRIL 27, 2011**  
**RFQ # 616-10631**  
**RFQ TITLE: PROFESSIONAL TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING**  
**CONSULTANT SERVICES**

PROPOSER	TOTAL SCORE	AVERAGE SCORE	RANKING	RECOMMENDED FOR CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	<b>270</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>1</b>	*
Kittelson & Associates, Inc.	<b>267.5</b>	<b>89.17</b>	<b>2</b>	*
Miller Legg & Associates, Inc.	<b>257.5</b>	<b>85.83</b>	<b>3</b>	*
T.Y. Lin International	<b>250</b>	<b>83.33</b>	<b>4</b>	*
Atkins Noth America, Inc.	237.5	79.17	5	
Keith and Schnars, P.A.	232.5	77.5	6	
Metric Engineering, Inc.	217.5	72.5	7	

PROPOSER:		ATKINS NORTH AMERICA (f/n/a PBS&J)			
		MAXIMUM POINTS	COMMITTEE MEMBERS		
EVALUATION CRITERIA		AVAILABLE	Antoinette Butler	Ella Parker	Stan Edwards
1	Qualifications of Firm	25	22.5	17.5	17.5
2	Qualifications of Project Team	25	20	20	22.5
3	Approach to Scope of Work	25	22.5	17.5	17.5
4	Previous Similar Projects / References	25	20	17.5	22.5
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>			<b>85</b>	<b>72.5</b>	<b>80</b>
			<b>237.5</b>	<b>79.17</b>	
			TOTAL	AVERAGE	

PROPOSER:		KEITH AND SCHNARS			
		MAXIMUM POINTS	COMMITTEE MEMBERS		
EVALUATION CRITERIA		AVAILABLE	Antoinette Butler	Ella Parker	Stan Edwards
1	Qualifications of Firm	25	22.5	17.5	20
2	Qualifications of Project Team	25	22.5	17.5	20
3	Approach to Scope of Work	25	20	17.5	17.5
4	Previous Similar Projects / References	25	22.5	17.5	17.5
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>			<b>87.5</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>75</b>
			<b>232.5</b>	<b>77.50</b>	
			TOTAL	AVERAGE	

PROPOSER:		KIMLEY-HORN			
		MAXIMUM POINTS	COMMITTEE MEMBERS		
EVALUATION CRITERIA		AVAILABLE	Antoinette Butler	Ella Parker	Stan Edwards
1	Qualifications of Firm	25	25	22.5	22.5
2	Qualifications of Project Team	25	22.5	22.5	20
3	Approach to Scope of Work	25	25	22.5	20
4	Previous Similar Projects / References	25	25	22.5	20
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>			<b>97.5</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>82.5</b>
			<b>270</b>	<b>90.00</b>	
			TOTAL	AVERAGE	

PROPOSER:		KITTELSON			
		MAXIMUM POINTS	COMMITTEE MEMBERS		
EVALUATION CRITERIA		AVAILABLE	Antoinette Butler	Ella Parker	Stan Edwards
1	Qualifications of Firm	25	25	22.5	22.5
2	Qualifications of Project Team	25	22.5	22.5	20
3	Approach to Scope of Work	25	22.5	22.5	22.5
4	Previous Similar Projects / References	25	25	20	20
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>			<b>95</b>	<b>87.5</b>	<b>85</b>
			<b>267.5</b>	<b>89.17</b>	
			TOTAL	AVERAGE	

<b>PROPOSER:</b>		<b>METRIC ENGINEERING</b>					
		<b>MAXIMUM POINTS</b>	<b>COMMITTEE MEMBERS</b>				
<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>		<b>AVAILABLE</b>	Antoinette Butler	Ella Parker	Stan Edwards		
1	Qualifications of Firm	25	20	15	20		
2	Qualifications of Project Team	25	20	15	20		
3	Approach to Scope of Work	25	17.5	17.5	20		
4	Previous Similar Projects / References	25	20	15	17.5		
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>			<b>77.5</b>	<b>62.5</b>	<b>77.5</b>	<b>217.5</b>	<b>72.50</b>

TOTAL AVERAGE

<b>PROPOSER:</b>		<b>MILLER LEGG</b>					
		<b>MAXIMUM POINTS</b>	<b>COMMITTEE MEMBERS</b>				
<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>		<b>AVAILABLE</b>	Antoinette Butler	Ella Parker	Stan Edwards		
1	Qualifications of Firm	25	25	22.5	20		
2	Qualifications of Project Team	25	22.5	22.5	20		
3	Approach to Scope of Work	25	22.5	17.5	20		
4	Previous Similar Projects / References	25	22.5	20	22.5		
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>			<b>92.5</b>	<b>82.5</b>	<b>82.5</b>	<b>257.5</b>	<b>85.83</b>

TOTAL AVERAGE

<b>PROPOSER:</b>		<b>T.Y. LIN</b>					
		<b>MAXIMUM POINTS</b>	<b>COMMITTEE MEMBERS</b>				
<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>		<b>AVAILABLE</b>	Antoinette Butler	Ella Parker	Stan Edwards		
1	Qualifications of Firm	25	25	20	20		
2	Qualifications of Project Team	25	22.5	22.5	22.5		
3	Approach to Scope of Work	25	20	20	20		
4	Previous Similar Projects / References	25	22.5	17.5	17.5		
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>			<b>90</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>83.33</b>

TOTAL AVERAGE