



**CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION**

RFP / TITLE 815-10695 Expedited Plans Services
DATE: 3/7/2011

<u>VENDORS</u>	<u>TOTAL SCORE</u>	<u>AVERAGE SCORE</u>	<u>RANKING</u>
CAP Government	313.9	78.48	1
Calvin, Giordano, & Associates	250.34	62.59	2
M.T. Causley, Inc.	247.5	61.88	3
Bureau Veritas	192.84	48.21	4
The Tamara Peacock Company	81.92	20.48	5

<u>VENDORS</u>	<u>TOTAL SCORE</u>	<u>AVERAGE SCORE</u>	<u>RANKING</u>
CAP Government	330.5	82.63	1
M.T. Causley, Inc.	225.02	56.26	2
Bureau Veritas	218	54.50	3
Calvin, Giordano, & Associates	199.02	49.76	4
The Tamara Peacock Company	120	30	5

<u>VENDORS</u>	<u>TOTAL SCORE</u>	<u>AVERAGE SCORE</u>	<u>RANKING</u>
CAP Government	323.66	80.92	1
M.T. Causley, Inc.	247.5	61.88	2
Calvin, Giordano, & Associates	262.22	65.56	3
Bureau Veritas	208.16	52.04	4
The Tamara Peacock Company	96.44	24.11	5

<u>PROPOSER:</u>		<u>Bureau Veritas</u>				
	<u>MAXIMUM POINTS AVAILABLE</u>	<u>A. Hernandez MEMBER #1</u>	<u>M. Malik MEMBER #2</u>	<u>J. Heller MEMBER #3</u>	<u>M.Santisi MEMBER #4</u>	
1	Evidence of ability, understanding of required services, capacity & skill of	25	12.5	12.5	12.5	15
2	Quality, depth and scope of response to qualifications	5	2.5	2.5	2	3.5
3	Successful experience & background of the proposing	20	10	8	8	14
4	Background, qualifications & experience of personnel	20	2	6	6	2
	Group 1 Cost Points	30	18.46	18.46	18.46	18.46
	Group 2 Cost Points	30	24.75	24.75	24.75	24.75
5	Group 3 Cost Points	30	22.29	22.29	22.29	22.29

Group 1 Total Points	45.46	47.46	46.96	52.96	192.84	48.21
Group 2 Total Points	51.75	53.75	53.25	59.25	218	54.50
Group 3 Total Points	49.29	51.29	50.79	56.79	208.16	52.04
					TOTAL	AVERAGE

<u>PROPOSER:</u>		<u>CAP Government</u>				
	<u>MAXIMUM POINTS AVAILABLE</u>	<u>A. Hernandez MEMBER #1</u>	<u>M. Malik MEMBER #2</u>	<u>J. Heller MEMBER #3</u>	<u>M.Santisi MEMBER #4</u>	
1	understanding of required services, capacity & skill of firm to perform, including	25	20	20	22.5	15
2	Quality, depth and scope of response to qualifications	5	3.5	4	4	3.5
3	Successful experience & background of the proposing	20	14	16	16	10
4	Background, qualifications & experience of personnel	20	14	18	16	14
	Group 1 Cost Points	30	25.85	25.85	25.85	25.85
	Group 2 Cost Points	30	30	30	30	30
5	Group 3 Cost Points	30	28.29	28.29	28.29	28.29

Group 1 Total Points	77.35	83.85	84.35	68.35	313.9	78.48
Group 2 Total Points	81.5	88	88.5	72.5	330.5	82.63
Group 3 Total Points	79.79	86.29	86.79	70.79	323.66	80.92
					TOTAL	AVERAGE

PROPOSER:		Calvin, Giordano, & Associates				
		MAXIMUM POINTS	A. Hernandez	M. Malik	J. Heller	M.Santisi
EVALUATION CRITERIA		AVAILABLE	MEMBER #1	MEMBER #2	MEMBER #3	MEMBER #4
1	Evidence of ability, understanding of required	25	15	15	17.5	20
2	Quality, depth and scope of response to qualifications	5	3	3	3.5	3.5
3	Successful experience & background of the proposing	20	12	6	14	16
4	Background, qualifications & experience of personnel	20	12	6	14	16
Group 1 Cost Points		30	18.46	18.46	18.46	18.46
Group 2 Cost Points		30	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.63
Group 3 Cost Points		30	21.43	21.43	21.43	21.43

Group 1 Total Points	60.46	48.46	67.46	73.96	250.34	62.59
Group 2 Total Points	47.63	35.63	54.63	61.13	199.02	49.76
Group 3 Total Points	63.43	51.43	70.43	76.93	262.22	65.56
					TOTAL	AVERAGE

PROPOSER:		The Tamara Peacock Company				
		MAXIMUM POINTS	A. Hernandez	M. Malik	J. Heller	M.Santisi
EVALUATION CRITERIA		AVAILABLE	MEMBER #1	MEMBER #2	MEMBER #3	MEMBER #4
1	Evidence of ability, understanding of required	25	2.5	5	5	5
2	Quality, depth and scope of response to qualifications	5	1	1	0.5	1
3	Successful experience & background of the proposing	20	4	4	2	2
4	Background, qualifications & experience of personnel	20	4	4	2	2
Group 1 Cost Points		30	9.23	9.23	9.23	9.23
Group 2 Cost Points		30	18.75	18.75	18.75	18.75
Group 3 Cost Points		30	12.86	12.86	12.86	12.86

Group 1 Total Points	20.73	23.23	18.73	19.23	81.92	20.48
Group 2 Total Points	30.25	32.75	28.25	28.75	120	30.00
Group 3 Total Points	24.36	26.86	22.36	22.86	96.44	24.11
					TOTAL	AVERAGE

PROPOSER:		M.T. Causley, Inc.				
		MAXIMUM POINTS	A. Hernandez	M. Malik	J. Heller	M.Santisi
EVALUATION CRITERIA		AVAILABLE	MEMBER #1	MEMBER #2	MEMBER #3	MEMBER #4
1	Evidence of ability, understanding of required	25	17.5	15	15	10
2	Quality, depth and scope of response to qualifications	5	3.5	3	3	2.5
3	Successful experience & background of the proposing	20	14	4	10	10
4	Background, qualifications & experience of personnel	20	2	4	12	2
Group 1 Cost Points		30	30	30	30	30
Group 2 Cost Points		30	24.38	24.38	24.38	24.38
Group 3 Cost Points		30	30	30	30	30

Group 1 Total Points	67	56	70	54.5	247.5	61.88
Group 2 Total Points	61.38	50.38	64.38	48.88	225.02	56.26
Group 3 Total Points	67	56	70	54.5	247.5	61.88
					TOTAL	AVERAGE